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Abstract

Background: The study aims to assess the determinants of employees’ turnover in the Ethiopian textile industry. Methodology: To assess the determinants of the problem, a sample of four companies were included in the study from four different Regional States (Tigray, Amhara, Oromia, and SNNPR). The principal sources of the data were employees, key informants, and employers in the textile manufacturing enterprise. A structured questionnaire with CSpro software was used to gather the information. A Probit Econometric Regression analysis was applied to analyze the data and draw a conclusion. Findings: The findings of the study revealed that workers’ dissatisfaction with their job, work environment, and salary dissatisfaction have a significant and positive relationship to their intention to leave the companies. However, as expected, supervisors’ good relationship with the workers has a significant effect to reduce the probability of the worker’s intention to leave the company. Compared to males, female workers are more likely to intend to leave. This could be associated with inconvenient work schedule and works stress. The result of the analysis also shows that the larger the family sizes, the less likely are the workers intend to leave their company which could be associated to fear of risk against the family livelihood.
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1. Introduction

According to Martin (2005), when employees leave a company, the employer incurs a considerable amount of direct and indirect expense. The costs of employees’ turnover normally include advertising expenses, headhunting fees, resource management expenses, loss of time and efficiency, work imbalance, and training and development expenses for new joiners (Harrie; 2002). According to Oregon (2004), most companies find that employee turnover can be reduced when issues affecting employee morale are addressed. Ethiopia being with abundant labor supply, high turnover is repeatedly reported by many manufacturing companies. It is indicated that nearly two-thirds of new production workers who join the garment and textile factories quit after six months (International-Labor-Organization; 2019).

This is a serious paradox to the government as given that a considerable number of the population is jobless and looking for employment; that is, while unemployment is alarmingly increasing, there is a massive turnover in manufacturing companies located all over the country. The publication of the Industrial Strategy Paper (2002) of the Ethiopian government revealed that the textile and garment industry in Ethiopia has been considered as one of the priority industries that are labor-intensive and export-oriented, as explicitly documented in Plan for Accelerated and Sustained Development to End Poverty (PASDEP), Growth and Transformation Plan one and two (GTP–I and GTP–II) and National Employment Policy (FDRE; 2016).

The paradox is that, while there happens to be a massive
unemployment problem of educated youth in the economy, the manufacturing enterprises (both FDI and Local investors) complain about severe labor shortage and high rate of turnover for both skilled and unskilled labor. The Labor Market Report (2019) by Fitch solutions group limited also states that manufacturing businesses are neither adequately pooling enough labor force nor sustainably retaining those employed despite the rising unemployment problems in the country. The research questions are, therefore, what are the most important determinants of employees’ turnover in the textile industry? What should be the mitigating measures to reduce the labor turnover?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Literature

Labor turnover is the ratio of the number of workers that have to be replaced that can be calculated in a month or a year (Ferreira and Almeida; 2015). Herzberg developed the two-factor theory by interviewing 203 accountants and engineers in the Pittsburgh area (Matei and Abrudan; 2016; Alase and Akinbo; 2021). While developing the theory, Herzberg stated that if working conditions are poor, but an individual chooses to have a job it could be due to company policy, interpersonal relations, benefits, job security, salary, and supervision. According to Herzberg et al. (1959), extrinsic factors are those factors that do not always lead to greater job satisfaction but rather are expected factors attributing to the everyday nature of the job.

On the other hand, intrinsic motivators are the forces that push an individual to make a choice in one direction or another and are significantly influenced by how an individual perceives a situation (Matei and Abrudan; 2016) it includes achievement, recognition, advancement, the possibility of growth, the enriching or rewarding aspects of the job itself, and the ultimate responsibility proffered by the job or position (Alshmeri and Maude; 2017; Noko and Nwuzor; 2021). Vroom developed the expectancy theory in 1964, based on performance expectation, recognition of performance, and value of recognition (Hayibor and Collins; 2016). For example, if managers want to keep expert employees, the focus should be identifying methods to allow employees to find intrinsic (motivational) value within their positions (Vroom and Deci; 1983).

Ghoddousi et al. (2014) also conducted a study that utilized the expectancy theory consisting of 194 employees and found that intrinsic factors were more effective than extrinsic factors in the construction industry. Maslow’s theory suggested humans have hierarchy of needs that must be met in order of lowest to highest (Maslow, 1943 see (Noko and Nwuzor; 2021)). For example, individuals will not become concerned about their safety until after the primary physiological needs such as food and water are met. Furthermore, Maslow found that if employees do not feel safe in the working environment promotion and awards will not be their concern. The theories show that both internal and external factors must be considered in crafting an employee retention strategy.

2.2 Empirical Literature

According to ECarsten and Spector (1987), job satisfaction is a factor that is critical to consider when predicting employee’s intention to leave. It explains that people who are unhappy with their jobs are likely to quit in order to find a better alternative elsewhere. However, studies have produced mixed results. Some researchers have reported non–significant relationships between satisfaction and turnover intentions (e.g. (Harris and Cameron; 2005; Kacmar et al.; 1999). Supporting the latter findings, Erdogan et al. (2012) estimated the population correlation to be low. In contrast, according to De–Cuyper et al. (2009) and Rode et al. (2007), greater job satisfaction is associated with low intention to leave. DeConinck (2009); Gerstner and Day (1997); Graen and Uhl–Bien (1995) explained the quality of relationship between a supervisor and subordinate workers. They explained how this affects the employee’s behaviors and attitudes including intention to leave. Previous studies have shown that this variable affects not only intentions to leave (Gerstner and Day; 1997), but also numerous other factors including performance (Liden et al.; 2006), organizational commitment (Cohen–Charash and Spector; 2001), and citizenship behaviors (Ilies et al.; 2007).

On the other hand, other studies show that this relationship may not be so straightforward. For example, Morrow et al. (2005) found a non–linear relationship between supervisor relationship and turnover such that turnover was lowest when the relationship was moderate. In addition, according to the studies made by Graen et al. (1982) and Vecchio (1985) the relationship between supervisor relation and actual turnover has been quite equivocal. Based on the norm of reciprocity (Eisenberger et al.; 1986), one would expect that employees perceiving high levels of appreciation and resources from their organizations would be less inclined to leave their jobs. Most of the empirical research to date supports this prediction, with scholars reporting a strong negative relationship between value of employees’ contribution and turnover intentions (e.g. Allen et al.; 2003; Chew and Wong; 2008). Others, however, have reported moderate (Karatepe; 2012) or even non–significant relationships for certain types of employees, e.g., law firm partners. However, workplace stress has ambiguous results. For example, work–family conflict, a source of workplace stress that occurs when work and home responsibilities collide, resulted in greater time–lagged turnover intentions for permanent workers, but not for temporary workers (Mauno et al.; 2015).

Organizational commitment has emerged as one of the strongest attitudinal dimensions for the human resources management literature (Hom and Griffeth; 1995). Generally, the higher is the organization commitment; the lower is workers’ intention to leave. This relationship has been tested in several studies and is generally concluded to be a strong predictor of employee turnover (Kwon et al.; 2010; Gamble and Huang; 2008; Cole and Bruch; 2006; Cohen; 2003).

2.3 Economic Role of Textile Industry in Ethiopia

According to International–Labor–Organization (2019), before the formal operation of textile and garment industry in 1930s, there is a long history of textile and garment industry in the traditional form of spinning, weaving and handloom. The high levels of labor intensity and its potential link to the agricultural sector has made the sector a key policy focus area for job creation and exports throughout the 20th and the 21st centuries. The textile and garment industry remained a key policy focus area for job creation and exports throughout the 20th and the 21st centuries. The textile and garment industry remained a key policy focus area for job creation and exports throughout the 20th and the 21st centuries.
has steadily declined in recent years, the industry still employs close to 17% of the entire workforce engaged in the formal manufacturing sector. Indeed, the industry is highly labor-intensive; employing 555 workers on average, of which 76% are female workers. Yet, the pace of job creation in the industry remains far lower than targets set by the government. For examination, it achieved only 50% of the job creation targets set in the Growth and Transformation Plan (GTPI) document.

The gross value production of textile and garment industries was only 700 million and 55.7 million birrs respectively in 2001. This figure jumped to 5.8 billion for textile and 0.97 billion birrs for garments in 2014, which is equivalent to a compounded annual average growth rate of 17.7% and 23.8%, respectively (International-Labor-Organization; 2019). According to Staritz et al (2017), the export from the industry increased to 62 million USD in 2010/11 and further to about 109 million USD in 2017/18. It is noted that in recent years, export from the parks has increased, and the Government of Ethiopia hopes to bring in textile exports worth $30 billion by 2025. As noted in (International-Labor-Organization; 2010), taking all scales of the textile and garment industries at the country level, the sector has created close to half a million jobs in (Central-Statistical-Agency; 2014) and most of the jobs are created in the textile sector (about 86%). Interestingly, most jobs created are concerned in rural area (about 58%).

3. Methodology

3.1 Sources and Method of Data Collection

Sample of four (4) companies were included which are distributed across the major industrial towns in Ethiopia. The principal source of the data for the study were the employees, key informants, and employers in the textile manufacturing companies indicated. Because the population was unknown, Cochran (1963) formula was applied for determining the sample size, which is popularly used for such purpose when it is difficult to determine the sample frame. Accordingly, a total sample size of 142 individual workers was randomly selected for interview. The sample size is roughly equally distributed (purposive sampling) across the selected companies. Yet, it would not affect the quality and representativeness of the data given the large population and sample size in each of the companies. The formula employed as indicated in Cochran (1963) for determining the sample size is:

\[ n = \text{def} \left( \frac{Z_{0.1/2}}{2} \right)^2 \times \frac{1 - P}{\epsilon^2} \]  

(1)

Where 

- \( n \) = the required sample size. \( Z_{0.1/2} = \alpha/2 \) standard normal distribution score, 90% value (1.65) is considered. \( P \) equal the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population (taken as 0.5 (default value)). And \( \epsilon \) = margin of error 6.9% is used for this purpose. Deff. equal anticipated design effect, 1 is used for this purpose; and considering 5% for non-responses.

To meet the objectives of the study, both primary and secondary data were collected. The secondary data was collected from different institutions like, Central Statistics Agency (CSA), Ethiopian Ministry of Labor and Social Affairs (MoLSA), International Labor Organization (ILO) and GIZ. Review of literature and browsing through the internet were additional sources of information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Name of Companies</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Oromia</td>
<td>Bishoftu</td>
<td>Canoria Africa Textile PLC</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SNNPR</td>
<td>Hawasa</td>
<td>JP Textile Ethiopia Plc</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Amhara</td>
<td>Kombolcha</td>
<td>Ammar PolicyPropile PLC</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tigray</td>
<td>Axum</td>
<td>AlmendaTextile</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Sampled Respondents, Region and Location

Information from the managers of the companies and key informants was collected using checklists (through email) as well as through telephone conversations. The information from the employers (managers) was taken to represent the demand side of the labor market, which were basically qualitative and narrative explanations. Most importantly, majority of the data were collected from the workers (employees of the companies) representing the supply side of the labor market. The workers were a mix of laborers and experts. A standard questionnaire was prepared to collect the primary data. The data collection was made through telephone and email because of the pandemic COVID-19, three data collectors were organized under one supervisor. Technical training regarding the concepts, definitions, and methods of collecting data was provided to the data collectors.

The training program involved sessions for explaining the purposes of the study, explanations of the different sections of the questionnaires, and the manner of capturing responses via Computer Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI) using “tablets”. The completed responses were properly captured using the CSPro software installed on the tablets. The collection of the data took 15 days, and sampled were collected based on information in Table 1

3.2 Econometrics Model Specification

Employees’ intention to leave is an important concept that is used as a proxy for real turnover and an important predictor of employee devotion to a company (Menon et al.; 2018; Van-Breukelen et al.; 2004). A binary Probit model was used for the econometric analysis to identify the major determinants of employees’ turnover (proxied by intention to leave) in Textile industries to explain the behavior of a dichotomous dependent variable. Although there is no compelling reason to choose logit over Probit in practice many researchers choose the logit model because of its comparative mathematical simplicity and the logistic model has been used extensively in analyzing growth phenomena, such as population, GNP, money supply (Gujarat; 2004). The probit model is described by the binary outcome \( y_i \).

Where, \( y_i = 1 \) if an employee intends to leave; \( y_i = 0 \) if an employee intends to stay. The linear model is given by;

\[ y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \epsilon_i = x_i \beta + \epsilon_i \]  

(2)

Where \( x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}), \epsilon_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2) \)

The probability that an employee intends to stay is expressed as;

\[ p(\epsilon_i | x_i \beta | x_i) = p(y_i = 0 | x_i) = 1 - x_i \beta \]  

(3)

The probability that an employee intends to leave is expressed as;
Table 2. Summary of hypothesized variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value /Categories</th>
<th>Expected Sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Intention to leave</td>
<td>Intention to leave is the dependent variable taken as a proxy of turnover</td>
<td>0=intention to stay 1=intention to leave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Gender</td>
<td>refers to the sex of the respondents</td>
<td>0=male 1=female</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Age</td>
<td>It is the age of the respondents</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Marital Status</td>
<td>It refers to whether the respondents are married or single</td>
<td>0=single 1=married</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Migration</td>
<td>refers to from where the employees are coming for the job</td>
<td>0=Just from around the company 1= far from other regions/zones 2=from Addis Ababa</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Family size</td>
<td>The size of family of the respondents</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Supervisor relation</td>
<td>It is the relationship of the supervisors/managers with the workers</td>
<td>0=Bad 1=Good</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>It is the satisfaction that employees have while doing the job</td>
<td>0=satisfied 1=dissatisfied</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Salary satisfaction</td>
<td>It is the satisfaction from the salary received</td>
<td>0=Neutral; 1=satisfied; 2=dissatisfied</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Work environment satisfaction</td>
<td>It is the work stress, safety and rules and regulations of the company</td>
<td>0=Neutral; 1=satisfied; 2=dissatisfied</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Salary Satisfaction Vis-a-Vis the Intention Leave and Working Environment satisfaction Vis-a-Vis Intention to Leave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salary Satisfaction</th>
<th>Intention to Leave</th>
<th>Working Environment Satisfaction</th>
<th>Intention to Leave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied/neutral</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
p(y_1 = 1 \mid x_i \beta) = p(y_1 = 1|x_i) = x_i \beta \quad \text{----------(4)}
\]

\[
p(x_j = 1 - x_j \beta|x_i) = p(y_j = 1|x_j) = x_j \beta \quad \text{----------(5)}
\]

In general, we have \( p(y_j = 1|x_j) = G(x_j \beta) \quad \text{----------}(5) \)

Equation (5) indicates that the probability of having \( y_j = 1 \) depends on the vector \( x_j \) containing individual characteristics. Clearly, the function \( G(.) \) in (5) should take on values in the interval \([0, 1]\) only. Usually, one restricts attention to functions of the form; \( G(x_i \beta) = F(x_i \beta) \). As \( F(.) \) also has to be between 0 and 1, it seems natural to choose \( F \) to be some distribution function. Common choices are the standard normal distribution function, the data coding is as presented in Table 2

4. Result and Discussion

4.1 Results of Descriptive Analyses

Out of the total respondents (142), female accounts for 18% and male accounts for 82%. Most of the respondents are between the 25–28 years with an average of 26 years. Descriptively, both male (83%) and female (89%) have reported their intention to leave their company. The total respondents’ majority of them (74.5%) of them completed first degree. The remaining 15.49% completed secondary school, 4.93% completed TVET, 3.52% completed diploma.

The mean Net salary of the respondents is 3,892.7 birr per person per month. The net pay ranges widely from as low as 700 birr to as high as 9,000 birr per month and indicated with a high standard of deviation Birr 1,951. This is roughly equivalent to USD $20 ~ USD $257 (calculated 1$=35 birr) as per the survey. This is indicating that the minimum salary is less than one dollar per day which is very low. The respondents responses are coded and presented in Table 3

Of particular interest in this regard is that machine operators are more inclined to leave their job due to the difficult nature of their work. Interestingly, 79% (= 75/95) of those reported satisfied and or neutral with the working condition have the intention to leave and 94% (= 44/47) of those dissatisfied have the intention to leave.

The information gathered through Key informant interview (KII) indicated that high sound pollution, fatigue and dust are among the major challenges that the workers in the weaving, long chain beamer (LCM) and spinning department face respectively and these in turn adversely affects the lung, kidney and sight of the workers. Furthermore, chemicals used in the dyeing department have also damaging effect on their health conditions and could even cause cancer particularly in a situation where they do not use the recommended personal protective equipment (PPE).

4.2 Result of Econometric Analysis

In this section, econometric analysis of the variables under investigation was undertaken. A Probit maximum Likelihood model was applied to the proposed variables. The model passed through important tests like omitted variable test, goodness-of-fit and multicollinearity to evaluate the overall significance and explanatory power of the model. The Hosmer–Lemeshow Goodness of Fit test was applied to Probit model. It describes how the model fits a set of observations (the discrepancy between observed values and the values expected under the model in question). If the fit is good (null hypothesis is true), the observed and (model based) expected counts will be close to each other and their differences will be small. The actual test statistic is a sum of (observed−expected)/expected and is distributed chi square under the null hypothesis. The test \((p = 0.7773)\) suggests no statistically significant departure from a good fit. The null hypothesis of “good fit” is NOT rejected (Gujarati; 2004).

Multicollinearity was tested by using a correlation matrix
Figure 1. Multicollinearity Test

Table 4. Robust Probit Maximum Likelihood Estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intention to Leave</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>St. Err. (Robust)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% Conf Interval</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>super-relation</td>
<td>-0.606</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-1.254 - 0.043</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work-disat</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>-0.053 1.523</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job-disat</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.331 1.605</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salar-disat</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>-0.070 1.084</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration1</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>-0.431 1.693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration2</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>-0.830 0.962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.053 1.477</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>-0.340 1.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>-0.050 0.160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td>-0.164</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-0.308 -0.020</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-1.118</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>-4.273 2.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo r-squared</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>Number of obs</td>
<td>142.000</td>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>29.673</td>
<td>Prob &gt; chi2 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 | Source: Computed from Survey Data

Table 5. Robust Probit Maximum Likelihood Estimation

| Variable         | dy/dx | Std.Err. | Z    | P>|Z| | 95% Conf Interval | C.I. |
|------------------|-------|----------|------|------|-------------------|------|
| Super-r1n        | -0.107| 0.054    | -2.000| 0.046| -0.213 -0.002     | 0.599|
| Job-disat        | 0.229 | 0.097    | 2.350| 0.019| 0.038 0.420      | 0.704|
| Work-disat       | 0.121 | 0.054    | 2.220| 0.027| 0.014 0.227      | 0.331|
| Salary-disat     | 0.103 | 0.063    | 1.650| 0.100| -0.020 0.236     | 0.613|
| Migration1       | 0.098 | 0.079    | 1.140| 0.214| -0.057 0.253     | 0.264|
| Migration2       | 0.133 | 0.104    | 0.120| 0.903| -0.391 0.216     | 0.676|
| Age              | 0.010 | 0.011    | 0.970| 0.332| -0.011 0.031     | 26.183|
| Family size      | -0.031| 0.015    | -2.000| 0.045| -0.061 -0.001   | 2.254|
| Gender           | 0.107 | 0.046    | 2.320| 0.020| 0.017 0.196     | 0.176|
| Marital          | 0.057 | 0.060    | 0.940| 0.345| -0.061 0.176     | 0.197|
| Pseudo r-squared | 0.190 | Number of obs | 142.000 | Chi-square | 23.925 | Prob > chi2 0.008 |      |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 | Source: Computed from Survey Data

showing correlation coefficients between variables. Each cell in the table shows the correlation between two variables. If
the absolute value is > 0.8 among two or more predictors it indicates the presence of multicollinearity. In our case, the result of test indicates that there is no existence of multicollinearity as revealed in Figure 1. According to Agresti (2002), probit regression model are neither normally distributed nor have constant variance (homoscedastic). Therefore Gujarati (2004) recommended robust regression to control for heteroskedasticity in binary outcome models (see Table 4). In line with the model specification in the Methodology section, the functional Probit maximum likelihood Model is built on a latent variable (-) with the following formulation:

\[ \text{Intention to Leave} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Supervisor relation} + \beta_2 \text{Job disat} + \beta_3 \text{Work disat} + \beta_4 \text{Migration} + \beta_5 \text{Age} + \beta_6 \text{Familysize} + \epsilon \]

Where, \( \beta \) equals vector of coefficients and \( \epsilon \) equals vector of independent variables. If Intention to leave greater than 0, we have intention to leave equals 1 and if Intention to leave less than or equal to 0, we have Intention to leave equals 0. Therefore, the probit model was defined as follows:

\[ \text{Prob} (\text{Intention} = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Supervisor relation} + \beta_2 \text{Job disat} + \beta_3 \text{Work disat} + \beta_4 \text{Migration} + \beta_5 \text{Age} + \beta_6 \text{Familysize} + \epsilon \]

The result of the probit analysis shows that out of the proposed nine variables six of them are found to statistically significant to determine the workers probability of the intention to leave their company (as a proxy for high turnover). Relationship with supervisor, satisfaction with job, working environment and salary are the most important factors determining the probability of workers intention to leave textile manufacturing industry. However, the result presented that among the proposed variables, age, marital status, and migration are insignificant.

As explained earlier Relation with a Supervisor the marginal coefficient indicates that a change in the supervisor relation from bad to good has a marginal effect of about 10.7% less likely to leave the company. Previous studies have shown that this variable not only affects intentions to leave (Gerstner and Day, 1997), but also affects performance Liden et al. (2006), and or-the following formulation:

\[ \text{Intention to Leave} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Supervisor relation} + \beta_2 \text{Job disat} \]

5 Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

A total sample of 142 workers with different capacities were randomly selected from the companies and interviewed using a standard questionnaire to draw inference for the study. The data was collected using tablets deploying the CSpro software. Statistical tools and the Probit econometric model were applied for the data analysis. Despite the current high staff turnover and subsequent impacts on cost and productivity, the managers (owners) of the companies do not seem to make sufficient effort to reduce the problem. As sorts of motivation, companies provide incentives such as transport service, health security, holiday gift and sometimes subsidized sales of food. However, respondents feel these are trivial to retain workers in the company. In conclusion, the problem of high turnover is wearisome, and the major causal factors are dissatisfaction of the workers with their job, working environment and salary that implicitly means forced or involuntary turnover, and they do really not adore leaving their company or job. This is evidenced with the fact that the companies do not seem seriously concerned about the matter, which might be because of the available cheap and abundant labor in the market easily to replace. High turnover is painful to the workers and in any ways adversely affect the companies’ profitability. The implication for the government to plan for job creation and reduce unemployment problem will be questionable. The following recommendations are forwarded based on the study results:

- The companies should revisit and improve their management styles. These include concern of humanity and creating sense of belongingness through improving friendly relationship all along the organizational structure - between owners and managers, managers, and supervisors and further between supervisors - operators.
- Harmonizing wages rates with workload/stress and provision of safety protection are crucial to reduce high turnover for sustainable development of the industry.
- Women workers need special attention to create favorable working conditions such as suitable work types, provision of health care facilities and exempting night shifts are area of improvement to encourage them and retain in the companies.
- It is suggested to establish workers’ union to have strong collective voice to protect their rights and be able to negotiate with the companies to improve the workers’ needs and satisfaction for mutual benefits.
- The companies should periodically undertake studies in relation to employee turnover and based on the studies should prepare mitigation strategies.
- Further investigation is encouraged to comprehend details of the cause of the problems, particularly from the demand side (the company owners) for policy actions.
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